arXiv:1205.4074v1 [math.DS] 18 May 2012

COMPLEX POLYNOMIAL VECTOR FIELDS WITH MANY ALGEBRAIC ORBITS

BRUNO SCARDUA

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Finite holonomy and local stability 3
3. Periodic groups and groups of finite exponent 4
4. Measure and finiteness 4
4.1. Measure concentration points 5
4.2. Proof of the measure stability theorem 5
5. Holomorphic foliations with singularities 6
5.1. Dulac correspondence at a non-degenerate corner 8
5.2. Virtual holonomy and holonomy 9
6. Foliations on complex projective spaces 11
6.1. Algebraic leaves and finite order 11
7. Proof of Darboux type theorem 12
7.1. End of the proof of Darboux type theorem 16
References 17

1. Introduction

Very basic examples of non-algebraic functions are given by solutions of algebraic differential equations. On the other hand, in dimension two, an algebraic differential equation with a sufficiently large number of algebraic solutions has all of its solutions in the algebraic class. This is stated in the following theorem due to J. G. Darboux:

Theorem 1.1 (Darboux [12])**.** *Let X be a polynomial vector field on $\mathbb{C}^2$. If X exhibits infinitely many algebraic orbits then all orbits are algebraic. In this case X admits a rational first integral.*

By an *algebraic orbit* we mean a non-singular orbit which is contained in an algebraic curve in $\mathbb{C}^2$. A rational function $R(x,y) = P(x,y)/Q(x,y)$, $P(x,y)$, $Q(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$ is a *first integral* of X if it is constant along the orbits of X. The classical proof of this theorem relies on algebraic arguments and, for our current purposes, it is interesting to briefly review it. The two basic facts are: (i) The vector field induces a natural dual polynomial 1-form ω, of same degree, and such that the integral curves of ω are the orbits of X. (ii) Given a reduced complex polynomial $f(x,y)$, the equation $\{f(x,y) = 0\}$ defines an algebraic orbit of X if and only if $\frac{1}{f}(\omega \wedge df) =: \theta_f(x,y) dx \wedge dy$ is a polynomial two-form, and in this case we have $\deg(\theta_f) = \deg(\omega) - 1 = \ deg(X) - 1$. Since the space of complex polynomials of degree $\leq \deg(X) - 1$ is a finite dimensional complex vector space, the existence of infinitely many algebraic leaves implies that there are two linearly independent polynomials $f(x,y), g(x,y)$ such that $\omega \wedge df = \omega \wedge dg = 0$ and therefore $R = f/g$ is a rational first integral for X.

The above algebraic argumentation is not available for the case of polynomial vector fields in dimension $m \geq 3$. A first problem involves the definition of algebraic curve in dimension $m \geq 3$ and the fact that not all algebraic curves are given by complete systems of polynomial equations. Another complication comes from the fact that it is not clear, at first glance, that given a vector field, there are $m-1$ polynomial 1-forms defining its dual space, and all of them satisfying the integrability conditions. In face of this, we conclude that, while seeking the comprehension of the higher dimension situation, one should deploy other more geometrical features. This motivates the introduction of the *foliation framework* and our current approach.

Theorem 1.1 is a sort of Reeb complete stability theorem for the case of *projective foliations*, *i.e.*, foliations on complex projective spaces, in the case of codimension one. Let us first recall that the celebrated Complete stability theorem of Reeb (see for instance [9, 14]) states that *a smooth real oriented foliation of real codimension one on a compact connected manifold is a fibration by compact leaves if if it exhibits a compact leaf with finite fundamental group*. This result, has many important consequences and motivates several questions in the theory of foliations. For instance: *Is it true that a codimension one smooth foliation on a (connected) compact manifold with infinitely many compact leaves has all leaves compact?* The answer is clearly no, but this is true if the foliation is (transversely) real analytic.

There are versions of Reeb complete stability theorem for the class of *holomorphic* foliations (see [2]). In the holomorphic framework, it is proved in [3] that a (non-singular) transversely holomorphic codimension one on a compact connected manifold admitting infinitely many compact leaves exhibits a transversely meromorphic first integral. All foliations mentioned so far are non-singular foliations. In [10] the author proves a similar result, if a (possibly singular) codimension one holomorphic foliations on a compact manifold has infinitely many closed leaves then it admits a meromorphic first integral and, in particular, all leaves are *closed* off the singular set.

The problem of bounding the number of closed (off the singular set) leaves of a holomorphic foliation is known (at least in the complex algebraic framework) as *Jouanolou's problem*, thanks to the pioneering results in [12] and has a wide range of contributions and applications in the Algebraic-geometric setting.

From a more analytic-geometrical point of view, in [13] it is proved a global stability theorem for codimension $k \geq 1$ holomorphic foliations transverse to fibrations. In [17] we focus on the problem of existence of an *stable* compact leaf (*i.e.*, a compact leaf with finite holonomy group) under the hypothesis of existence of a sufficiently large number of compact leaves.

We recall that a subset $X \subset M$ of a differentiable m-manifold has *zero measure on M* if M admits an open cover by coordinate charts $\varphi\colon U \subset M \to \varphi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\varphi(U \cap X)$ has zero measure (with respect to the standard Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^m$). In [17] we prove the following measure stability theorem for non-singular holomorphic foliations:

Theorem 1.2 (measure stability theorem). *A holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a compact complex manifold M, exhibits a compact stable leaf if and only if the set $\Omega(\mathcal{F}) \subset M$ of all compact leaves is not a zero measure subset of the manifold M.*

In this paper we address the singular case, more precisely the case of one-dimensional holomorphic foliations on projective spaces. By an *algebraic leaf* of such a foliation, we shall mean a leaf which is contained in an algebraic curve. Algebraic leaves of foliations on projective spaces play, in a certain sense, the role of compact leaves for (non-singular) smooth foliations. In this sense, our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.3 (Darboux type theorem)**.** *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation of dimension one with non-degenerate singularities on the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^m$. Assume that the set $\Omega(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$, union of all algebraic leaves of $\mathcal{F}$, has positive measure. Then all leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are algebraic.*

By a *non-degenerate* singularity we mean one defined by a holomorphic vector field with a non-singular linear part. The above theorem, stated in terms of one-dimensional foliations, has a natural statement for polynomial vector fields. Indeed, any foliation of dimension one in $\mathbb{C}P^m$ is induced in an affine space by a polynomial vector field. For a polynomial vector field X in $\mathbb{C}^m$, with isolated singularities, we shall refer to the *singularities at infinity* of X as the singularities of the corresponding one-dimensional foliation in $\mathbb{C}P^m$ at the hyperplane at infinity $\mathbb{C}P^m \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. Since this hyperplane has zero measure, we can rephrase Theorem 1.3 as follows:

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a polynomial vector field with non-degenerate singularities in $\mathbb{C}^m$ and non-degenerate singularities at the infinity. If the set union of all algebraic orbits of X has positive measure then all orbits of X are algebraic.*

We are not able to state that the foliation (or vector field) above admits a rational first integral, *i.e.*, a rational function whose fibers contain the leaves of the foliation. We are not able either, so far, to eliminate the non-degeneracy hypotheses on the singular set of the foliation (this would probably depend on a yet not known desingularization theorem in dimension $n \geq 3$), though we believe the above theorem may be true without this hypothesis. A linear vector field in $\mathbb{C}^3$ with two eigenvalues equal to 1 and another eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{R}$ gives an example of a foliation with infinitely many algebraic leaves but not all leaves algebraic. Indeed, the algebraic leaves are contained in a two-plane, which has zero measure.

Acknowledgement. I wish to express my gratitude to César Camacho and Albetã Mafra for valuable discussions and various suggestions regarding the results in this paper.

2. Finite holonomy and local stability

The classical local stability theorem of Reeb reads as follows ([5, 9]):

Theorem 2.1 (Reeb local stability theorem)**.** *Let L_0 be a compact leaf with finite holonomy of a smooth foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of real codimension $k \geq 1$ on a manifold M. Then there is a fundamental system of invariant neighborhoods W of L_0 in $\mathcal{F}$ such that every leaf $L \subset W$ is compact, has a finite holonomy group and admits a finite covering onto L_0. Moreover, for each neighborhood W of L_0 there is an $\mathcal{F}$-invariant tubular neighborhood $\pi\colon W' \subset W \to F$ of F with the following properties:*

1. *Every leaf $L' \subset W'$ is compact with finite holonomy group.*
2. *If $L' \subset W'$ is a leaf then the restriction $\pi\big|_{L'}\colon L' \to L$ is a finite covering map.*
3. *If $x \in L$ then $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is a transverse of $\mathcal{F}$.*
4. *There is an uniform bound $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each leaf $L \subset W$ we have $\#(L \cap \pi^{-1}(x)) \leq k$.*

Let now $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension k holomorphic foliation on a complex manifold M. Given a point $p \in M$, the leaf through p is denoted by L_p. We denote by $\mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{F}, L_p) = \mathrm{Hol}(L_p)$ the *holonomy group* of L_p. This is a conjugacy class of equivalence, and we shall denote by $\mathrm{Hol}(L_p, \Sigma_p, p)$ its representative given by the local representation of this holonomy calculated with respect to a local transverse disc Σ_p centered at the point $p \in L_p$. The group $\mathrm{Hol}(L_p, \Sigma_p, p)$ is therefore a subgroup of the group of germs $\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma_p, p)$ which is identified with the group $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ of germs at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^k$ of complex diffeomorphisms.

An important tool in the proof of the local stability theorem is the following:

Lemma 2.2 (local stability lemma, [5])**.** *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a C^r foliation on a manifold M. Given a leaf $L \in \mathcal{F}$ and a compact connected subset $K \subset L$ there are neighborhoods $K \subset U \subset W \subset M$, with U open in L and W open in M, and a C^r retraction $\pi\colon W \to U$, such that for each $x \in U$, the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is transverse to the restriction $\mathcal{F}\big|_W$. Moreover, if the holonomy group of the leaf L is finite then we can assume that for each leaf L' intersecting W then the restriction $\pi\big|_{L' \cap W}\colon L' \cap W \to \pi(L') = K$ is a finite covering map. Moreover, the order of this covering map is bounded by the order of the holonomy group of L.*

In the next section we give a sufficient condition that assures the finiteness of these holonomy groups.

3. Periodic groups and groups of finite exponent

Next we present Burnside's and Schur's results on periodic linear groups. Let G be a group with identity $e_G \in G$. The group is *periodic* if each element of G has finite order. A periodic group G is *periodic of bounded exponent* if there is an uniform upper bound for the orders of its elements. This is equivalent to the existence of $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $g^m = 1$ for all $g \in G$ (cf. [13]). Because of this, a group which is periodic of bounded exponent is also called a group of *finite exponent.* The following classical results are due to Burnside and Schur.

Theorem 3.1 (Burnside, 1905 [4], Schur, 1911 [15])**.** *Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$ be a complex linear group.*

(i) (Burnside) *If G is of finite exponent ℓ (but not necessarily finitely generated) then G is finite; actually we have $|G| \leq \ell^{k^2}$.*

(ii) (Schur) *If G is finitely generated and periodic (not necessarily of bounded exponent) then G is finite.*

Using this we prove:

Lemma 3.2 ([13])**.** *About periodic groups of germs of complex diffeomorphisms we have:*

(1) *A finitely generated periodic subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ is necessarily finite. A (not necessarily finitely generated) subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ of finite exponent is necessarily finite.*

(2) *Let $G \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ be a finitely generated subgroup. Assume that there is an invariant connected neighborhood W of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^k$ such that each point x is periodic for each element $g \in G$. Then G is a finite group.*

(3) *Let $G \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ be a (not necessarily finitely generated) subgroup such that for each point x close enough to the origin, the pseudo-orbit of x is periodic of (uniformly bounded) order $\leq \ell$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, then G is finite.*

4. Measure and finiteness

Let us pave the way to the proof of Theorem 1.2. For sake of simplicity we will adopt the following notation: if a subset $X \subset M$ is not a zero measure subset then we shall say that it has *positive measure* and write $\mathrm{med}(X) > 0$. This may cause no confusion for we are not considering any specific measure on M and we shall be dealing only with the notion of zero measure subset stated in Section 1. Nevertheless, we notice that if $X \subset M$ writes as a countable union $X = \bigcup\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n$

of subsets $X_n \subset M$ then X has zero measure in M if and only if X_n has zero measure in M for *all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In terms of our notation we have therefore $\operatorname{med}(X) > 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{med}(X_n) > 0$ for *some* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

4.1. **Measure concentration points.** The following notion will be useful in our argumentation.

Definition 4.1 (measure concentration point)**.** Given a subset $X \subset M$ of a differentiable manifold, a point $p \in M$ will be called a *measure concentration point* of X if for any open subset $p \in V \subset M$, the set $V \cap X$ has positive measure in M. The set of measure concentration points of X will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}_\mu(X)$. Clearly we have $\mathcal{C}_\mu(X) \subset \overline{X}$. If we denote by $\operatorname{Int}(X) \subset M$ the set of interior points of X, then we have $\operatorname{Int}(X) \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(X)$.

Lemma 4.2. *If $X \subset M$ is a subset then a boundary point $p \in \partial\mathcal{C}_\mu(X)$ is a measure concentration point of X. In other words, $\partial\mathcal{C}_\mu(X) \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(X)$.*

Proof. We may assume that M is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Given a neighborhood $p \in V$, there is a small disc $B(p;R) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of radius $R > 0$, centered at p and contained in V. Because $p \in \partial\mathcal{C}_\mu(X)$, there is a point $q \in \mathcal{C}_\mu(X)$ such that $|q - p| < R/2$. Given now the neighborhood $B(q;R/3)$ of q, since $q \in \mathcal{C}_\mu(X)$, we have $\operatorname{med}(X \cap B(q;R/3)) > 0$. On the other hand, we have $X \cap V \supset X \cap B(p;R) \supset X \cap B(q;R/3)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{med}(X \cap V) > 0$. This proves that $p \in \mathcal{C}_\mu(X)$. ☐

Lemma 4.3. *Given a subset $X \subset M$ the set $\mathcal{C}_\mu(X)$ has no isolated points.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that $q \in \mathcal{C}_\mu(X)$ is an isolated measure concentration point. Then, there is an open neighborhood W of q in M such that $W \setminus \{q\} \cap \mathcal{C}_\mu(X) = \emptyset$. Therefore, given a point $q \in W \setminus \{p\}$, there is an open neighborhood $q \in V_q \subset W \setminus \{p\}$ of q such that $X \cap W_q$ has zero measure. The open cover $W \setminus \{p\} \subset \bigcup\limits_{q \in W\setminus\{p\}} W_q$ admits a countable subcover $W \setminus \{p\} \subset \bigcup\limits_{j\in\mathbb{N}} W_{q_j}$. Because $X \cap W_{q_j}$ has zero measure for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we conclude that $X \cap W$ has zero measure and therefore p cannot be a measure concentration point of X. ☐

Lemma 4.4. *Let $X \subset M$ be a subset such that $X = \bigcup\limits_{k\in\mathbb{N}} X_k$ where $\mathcal{C}_\mu(X_k) = \emptyset$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then* $\operatorname{med}(X) = 0$.

Proof. Indeed, by Lemma 4.3 we have $\operatorname{med}(X_k) = 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and therefore $\operatorname{med}(X) = 0$. ☐

4.2. **Proof of the measure stability theorem.** Let us sketch the proof of Theorem 1.2. Because M is compact there is a finite number of relatively compact open discs $T_j \subset M$, $j = 1, ..., r$ such:

1. Each T_j is transverse to $\mathcal{F}$ and the closure $\overline{T_j}$ is contained in the interior of a transverse disc Σ_j to $\mathcal{F}$.
2. Each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ intersects at least one of the discs T_j.

Put $T = \bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{r} T_j \subset M$ and define

$$\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T) = \{L \in \mathcal{F} : \#(L \cap T) < \infty\}.$$

Then $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T) = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, n)$ where

$$\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, n) = \{L \in \mathcal{F} : \#(L \cap T) \leq n\}.$$

Since M is compact we have:

Claim 4.5. *A leaf is compact if and only if it has finite order, i.e., we have* $\Omega(\mathcal{F}) = \Omega(\mathcal{F}, T)$ *as collections of leaves*[1].

Because $\Omega(\mathcal{F}) = \Omega(\mathcal{F}, T) = \bigcup\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, n)$ and $\operatorname{med}(\Omega(\mathcal{F})) > 0$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\operatorname{med}(\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, n)) > 0$.

Recall that a point $p \in \overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})}$ is called a *measure concentration point* of the set of compact leaves $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ if for any open neighborhood W of p the intersection $W \cap \Omega(\mathcal{F})$ has positive measure.

Claim 4.6. *There is are a compact leaf* $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$.

Proof. Indeed, otherwise for each compact leaf $L \in \Omega(\mathcal{F})$ and for each neighborhood V_L of L in M there is a neighborhood $W_L \subset V_L$ of L in M such that $\operatorname{med}(W_L \cap \Omega(\mathcal{F})) = 0$. In particular there is an open cover $\Omega(\mathcal{F}) \subset \bigcup\limits_{L\in\Omega(\mathcal{F})} W_L$ such that $\operatorname{med}(W_L \cap \Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, n)) = 0$. The open cover admits a countable subcover so that we have $\Omega(\mathcal{F}) \subset \bigcup\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} W_n$ with $\operatorname{med}(W_n) = 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $\operatorname{med}(\Omega(\mathcal{F})) = 0$, a contradiction. ☐

Applying now Claim 4.5 and Lemma 4.4 we conclude that $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, n))$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 4.7. *The holonomy group of* L_0 *is finite.*

Indeed, choose a base point $p \in L_0 \cap T$ and a transverse disc $\Sigma_p \subset \overline{\Sigma_p} \subset T$ to $\mathcal{F}$ centered at p. Given a point $z \in \Sigma_p$ we denote the leaf through z by L_z. If $L_z \in \Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, n)$ then $\#(L_z \cap \Sigma_p) \leq n$.

Take now a holonomy map germ $h \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathcal{F}, L_0, \Sigma_p, p)$. Let us choose a sufficiently small subdisc $W \subset \Sigma_p$ such that the germ h has a representative $h\colon W \to \Sigma_p$ such that the iterates $h, h^2, ..., h^{n+1}$ are defined in W. Because of the claim above we have $\operatorname{med}(\{z \in W : \#(L_z \cap \Sigma_p) \leq n\}) > 0$.

Put $X =: \{z \in W : \#(L_z \cap \Sigma_p) \leq n\}$. Given a point $z \in X$ we have $h^\ell(z) = z$ for some $\ell \leq n$. Therefore there is $n_h \leq n$ such that

$$\operatorname{med}(\{z \in W : h^{n_h}(z) = z\}) > 0$$

Since h is analytic, the set $\{z \in W : h^{n_h}(z) = z\}$ is an analytic subset of W and therefore either this coincides with W or (it has codimension ≥ 2 and therefore) this is a zero measure subset of W. We conclude that $h^{n_h} = \operatorname{Id}$ in W. This shows that each germ $h \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathcal{F}, L_0, \Sigma_p, p)$ is periodic of order $n_h \leq n$ for some uniform $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that this holonomy group is finite by Lemma 3.2, and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is finished.

5. HOLOMORPHIC FOLIATIONS WITH SINGULARITIES

In this paper we shall refer to *singular holomorphic foliations* on a complex manifold M of dimension $m \geq 2$. By this we mean a pair $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}', \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}))$ where $\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \subset M$ is a dimension $\leq \dim(\mathcal{F}) - 1$ analytic subset of M and $\mathcal{F}'$ is a holomorphic foliation (without singularities) in the usual sense in the open manifold $M' = M \setminus \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \subset M$. The *leaves* of $\mathcal{F}$ are defined as the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}'$. The set $\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is called the *singular set* of $\mathcal{F}$. In the one-dimensional case there is an open cover $\{U_j\}_{j\in J}$ of M such that on each U_j it is defined a holomorphic vector field X_j such that: if $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ then $X_i\big|_{U_i\cap U_j} = g_{ij} X_j\big|_{U_i\cap U_j}$ for some non-vanishing holomorphic function g_{ij} in $U_i \cap U_j$. The leaves of the restriction $\mathcal{F}\big|_{U_j}$ are the nonsingular orbits of X_j in U_j

---

[1] Although $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ and $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T)$ are collections of leaves, when we refer to the measure of these sets, we are considering the measure of the union of the leaves in each of these sets. This should cause no misunderstanding.

while we have $\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap U_j = \operatorname{sing}(X_j)$. An isolated singularity of a one-dimensional foliation $\mathcal{F}$ in a manifold M is called *non-degenerate* if there is some open neighborhood $p \in U \subset M$ where the foliation is induced by a holomorphic vector field X with non-singular linear part $DX(p)$ at the point p.

Definition 5.1 (separatrix, μ-dicritical singularity)**.** We consider the following situation: $\mathcal{F}$ is a dimension one foliation on M with an isolated singularity at $p \in M$. Given a neighborhood $p \in U \subset M$ where $\mathcal{F}$ has no other singularity than p, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(U) := \mathcal{F}\big|_U$ the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to U. A leaf of $\mathcal{F}(U)$ accumulating only at p is closed off p and by Remmert-Stein extension theorem, is contained in an analytic curve containing p. Such a curve is called a local *separatrix* of $\mathcal{F}$ through p. In the case of dimension two, the classical definition says that $p \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is a dicritical singularity if for some neighborhood $p \in U \subset M^2$ the restriction $\mathcal{F}(U)$ has infinitely separatrices through p.

Definition 5.2 (μ-dicritical singularity)**.** In dimension $m \geq 2$ we shall say that p is a *μ-dicritical singularity* if for some U the set $\operatorname{sep}(\mathcal{F}, U) \subset U$ of local separatrices of $\mathcal{F}(U)$ through p has positive measure in U.

In dimension two, an isolated singularity is dicritical if and only if, its is μ-dicritical, this is a straightforward consequence of the theorem of resolution of singularities by blow-ups (cf. Seidenberg [19]). Nevertheless, in dimension three a linear vector field with eigenvalues 1, 1 and -1 is not μ-dicritical, but exhibits infinitely many separatrices (contained in the plane expanded by the positive eigenvalues).

By Newton-Puiseaux parametrization theorem, the topology of a separatrix is the one of a disc and the separatrix minus the singularity is biholomorphic to a punctured disc. In particular, given a separatrix Γ_p through a singularity $p \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$, we may choose a loop $\gamma \in \Gamma_p \setminus \{p\}$, generator of the (local) fundamental group $\pi_1(\Gamma_p \setminus \{p\})$, and the corresponding holonomy map h_γ which is defined in terms of a germ of complex diffeomorphism at the origin of a local transverse disc Σ, centered at a non-singular point $q \in \Gamma_p \setminus \{p\}$. This map, which is well-defined up to conjugacy by germs of holomorphic diffeomorphisms, is generically referred to as *local holonomy* of the separatrix Γ_p with respect to the singularity p. Let us denote by $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma, k)$ the union of leaves L of $\mathcal{F}$ such that L meets the transverse disc Σ at no more than k points, *i.e.*, $\sharp(L \cap \Sigma) \leq k$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a one-dimensional holomorphic foliation with an isolated singularity at the origin $p \in M$. Let Γ_p be a local separatrix of $\mathcal{F}$ through p and assume that for a local transverse disc Σ centered at a non-singular $q \in \Gamma_p \setminus \{p\}$, close enough to p we have $\Gamma_p \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma, k))$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the local holonomy of Γ_p with respect to p is a periodic map.*

Proof. Since $\Gamma_p \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma, k))$, arguing as in Claim 4.7 we conclude that there is $n_h \leq k$ such that the local holonomy map $h \in \operatorname{Hol}(\mathcal{F}, L, \Sigma, q)$ satisfies $h^{n_h} = \operatorname{Id}$ on a positive measure subset of the disc Σ that contains the origin, and this implies that $h^{n_h} = \operatorname{Id}$ wherever this map is defined. □

The above motivates the following definition:

Definition 5.4 (holonomy-linearizable singularity)**.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a one-dimensional holomorphic foliation with an isolated singularity at the origin $p \in M$. We say that the singularity p is *holonomy-analytically linearizable* if given any local separatrix Γ_p of $\mathcal{F}$ through p and assume and a local transverse disc Σ centered at a non-singular $q \in \Gamma_p \setminus \{p\}$, close enough to p, the corresponding local holonomy diffeomorphism germ of Γ_p with respect to p is an analytically linearizable map germ.

5.0.1. *Siegel type and Poincaré type singularities.* Assume now that $p \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is a *nondegenerate singularity*, *i.e.*, for some neighborhood $p \in U \subset M$ the restriction $\mathcal{F}\big|_U$ can be given by a holomorphic vector field X with a non-singular linear part at p. There are two possibilities. If the convex hull in $\mathbb{R}^2$ of the set of eigenvalues of the linear part $DX(p)$ contains the origin, then we say that the singularity is in the *Siegel domain*, otherwise it is the *Poincaré domain.* A singularity in the Poincaré domain is either analytically linearizable or exhibits some resonance for its eigenvalues and is analytically conjugate to a polynomial form with resonant monomials called Poincaré-Dulac normal form ([1]). For such a non-linear normal form the separatrices are contained in coordinate hyperplanes and not all hyperplanes are invariant. In particular, the set of separatrices is a zero measure subset. If the singularity is in the Siegel domain, then we can assume that the coordinate axes are separatrices. In the generic case, the coordinate planes can be assumed to be the only invariant hypersurfaces containing the origin. Moreover, still in the generic Siegel case, the local holonomy map of a separatrix can be used to completely determine the analytical type of the singularity. A Siegel type singularity is not μ-dicritical.

Remark 5.5 (analytic linearization of Siegel singularities)**.** Given a germ of Siegel singularity at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$, in the dimension $m = 2$ case, the local holonomy of a separatrix gives the full analytic classification of the singularity (cf. [20]). Nevertheless, this is more delicate in the case of dimension $m \geq 3$. Indeed, a Siegel type singularity may look like a dicritical singularity when restricted to an invariant plane. In [8] the authors prove this statement for $m = 3$ and strictly Siegel singularities, i.e., when $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is an interior point of the triangle with vertices at the eigenvalues. For dimension $m > 3$ the authors require some additional conditions.

5.1. **Dulac correspondence at a non-degenerate corner.** We consider the following situation: $\mathcal{F}$ is a dimension one foliation defined in a neighborhood of the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^m$ which is assumed to be a Siegel type singularity. We fix a small polydisc $U \ni 0$ centered at the origin, endowed with local coordinates $x = (x_1, ..., x_m) \in U$ where the coordinate axes contain the local separatrices. Fix a holomorphic vector field X in U with an isolated singularity at p and that defines the restriction $\mathcal{F}(U)$. Denote by $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ the eigenvalue of $DX(0)$ corresponding to the eigenvector tangent to the $\mathcal{O}_{x_j}$ axis. We assume that 0 is a singularity with rational eigenvalues, so that for a suitable choice of X we have $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall j$. Let us choose two coordinate axes $\mathcal{O}x_i$ and $\mathcal{O}x_j$ and fix local transverse discs $\Sigma_i : \{x_i = a_i\}$ and $\Sigma_j : \{x_j = a_j\}$.

The Dulac correspondence will be defined as a correspondence $\mathcal{D}_{ij}$ from certain subsets of Σ_i onto certain subsets of Σ_j as follows (cf.[6, 18] We pass to describe it on each case.

5.1.1. *Dimension two.* Suppose that $m = 2$. In this case we have $\lambda_1.\lambda_2 < 0$ and by the topological analytic description of Siegel plane singularities, we know that:

Given a point $z \in \Sigma_i$ denote by L_z the leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ passing through z. If z is close enough to the origin of Σ_i then the leaf L_z also intersects the disc Σ_j. We associate therefore the intersection points $L_z \cap \Sigma_i$, the intersection points $L_z \cap \Sigma_j$. We shall write $\mathcal{D}_{ij}(z)$ to denote this subset $L_z \cap \Sigma_j$ just for simplicity. The Dulac correspondence is a multivalued correspondence $\mathcal{D}_{ij} : \Sigma_i \to \Sigma_j$, which is obtained by following the local leaves of $\mathcal{F}\big|_U$.

When the origin is a linearizable singularity with rational eigenvalues, therefore given in suitable coordinates by a linear vector field $\dot{x}_j = \lambda_j x_j$, $j = 1, ..., m$, where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the Dulac correspondence is a finite to finite correspondence. For instance, if the dimension is two then we may choose local holomorphic coordinates $(x, y) \in U$ such that the local separatrices D_i and D_j through the singularity are given by $D_i : (x = 0)$, $D_j : (y = 0)$, and such that $\mathcal{F}\big|_U$ is given by

$kxdy + \ell ydx = 0$, $q_o : x = y = 0$, where $k/\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+, < k, \ell >= 1$. We fix the local transverse sections as $\Sigma_j = (x = 1)$ and $\Sigma_i = (y = 1)$, such that $\Sigma_i \cap D_i = q_i \neq q_o$ and $\Sigma_j \cap D_j = q_j \neq q_o$. Let us denote by $h_o \in \text{Diff}(\Sigma_i, q_i)$ the local holonomy map of the separatrix D_i corresponding to the corner q_o. Then we have $h_o(x) = \exp(-2\sqrt{-1}\pi\frac{k}{\ell}) \cdot x$. The Dulac correspondence is therefore given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{ij} \colon (\Sigma_i, q_i) \to (\Sigma_j, q_j), \mathcal{D}_{ij}(x_o) = x_o^{\frac{\ell}{k}}.$$

5.1.2. *Dimension three.* In this case we may assume that $\lambda_1 < 0$ and $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 > 0$. The plane $E(x_2x_3)$ is invariant by $\mathcal{F}(U)$ and we denote this foliation by $\mathcal{F}(U)_{x_2x_3}$. Suppose that the foliation $\mathcal{F}(U)_{x_2x_3}$ plane is linearizable dicritical, i.e., of radial type. Then given a leaf $L \subset E(x_2x_3)$ of the foliation, this leaf contains a unique separatrix $\Gamma \subset E(x_2x_3)$ through the origin. By the instable manifold theorem there is a germ at the origin of an analytic surface $H(x_1, \Gamma)$ that is invariant by the vector field X, contains the axis $\mathcal{O}_{x_1}$ and the curve Γ. The surface $H(x_1, \Gamma)$ meets the disc Σ_1 transversely at a 1-disc $\Sigma_1(\Gamma)$ centered at q_1. Also, given any point $q_2 \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ and a transverse 2-disc Σ_2 centered at q_2, the surface $H(x_1, \Gamma)$ meets Σ_2 transversely at a 1-disc $\Sigma_2(\Gamma)$. Applying now the same construction in the dimension two case above, we obtain a Dulac correspondence $\mathcal{D}_{1,\Gamma} \colon \Sigma_1(\Gamma) \to \Sigma_2(\Gamma)$ by following the local leaves of the foliation on $H(x_1, \Gamma)$.

5.1.3. *General case.* In dimension $m \geq 3$ we can proceed as above. Let Γ be a separatrix of $\mathcal{F}$ through the origin. Denote by $\lambda(\Gamma)$ the eigenvector of $DX(0)$ in the direction of Γ. If $\lambda_j.\lambda(\Gamma) < 0$ then we say that $\mathcal{O}_{x_j}$ and Γ form a *Siegel pair.* In this case we can proceed as in the above construction and obtain a Dulac correspondence $\mathcal{D}(j, \Gamma) \colon \Sigma(j, \Gamma) \to \Sigma(\Gamma)$ from a 1-disc $\Sigma(j, \Gamma)$ centered at a point $q_j \in \mathcal{O}_{x_j}$ into a 1-disc $\Sigma(\Gamma, j)$ centered at a point $q \in \Gamma$.

5.2. **Virtual holonomy and holonomy.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation with isolated singularities on a complex manifold M of dimension m. Given a non-singular point $q \in M \setminus \text{sing}(\mathcal{F})$, and a transverse disc Σ_q centered at q, and given a point $z \in \Sigma_q$ we denote the leaf through z by L_z. The *virtual holonomy group* of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the transverse section Σ_q and base point q is defined as (cf.[7])

$$\text{Hol}^{\text{virt}}(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma_q, q) = \{f \in \text{Diff}(\Sigma_q, q) \big| \tilde{L}_z = \tilde{L}_{f(z)}, \forall z \in (\Sigma_q, q)\}$$

Clearly, the virtual holonomy group contains the holonomy group, *i.e.*, $\text{Hol}(\mathcal{F}, L_q, \Sigma_q, q) \subset \text{Hol}^{\text{virt}}(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma_q, q)$, where $L_q \subset M$ is the leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ through $q \in M$. If q_1 and q_2 belong to the same leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ then, given transverse discs $\Sigma_1 \ni q_1$ and $\Sigma_2 \ni q_2$, the corresponding virtual holonomy groups $\text{Hol}^{\text{virt}}(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma_{q_1}, q_1)$ and $\text{Hol}^{\text{virt}}(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma_{q_2}, q_2)$ are holomophically conjugate by a germ of (holonomy) diffeomorphism $h \colon (\Sigma_{q_1}, q_1) \to (\Sigma_{q_2}, q_2)$. For this reason, we shall refer to the virtual holonomy group corresponding to a leaf $L \in \mathcal{F}$ and denote it as $\text{Hol}^{\text{virt}}(\mathcal{F}, L)$, for general purposes.

Definition 5.6 (finite virtual holonomy)**.** Let now $\Gamma \subset M$ be a connected invariant subset. We shall say that *each virtual holonomy group of* Γ *is finite*[2] if for each point $q \in \Gamma \setminus (\Gamma \cap \text{sing}(\mathcal{F}))$, the virtual holonomy group of the leaf $q \in L_q \subset \Gamma$ is finite.

Proposition 5.7. *Let* $\mathcal{F}$ *and* $\Gamma \subset M$ *be as above. If moreover, the singularities of* $\mathcal{F}$ *in* Γ *are all non-dicritical and non-degenerate and the virtual holonomy group* $\text{Hol}^{\text{virt}}(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma_q, q)$ *is finite, then:*

(1) *Each singularity* $p \in \Gamma$ *is holonomy-analytically linearizable with integer eigenvalues.*

---

[2] Notice that since Γ is a union of leaves and singularities, it is not clear, a priori, that the virtual holonomy groups of distinct leaves in $\Gamma(L_0)$ are related.

(2) *Each virtual holonomy group of* Γ *is finite.*
(3) *Each holonomy group of a leaf* $L \subset \Gamma$ *is finite.*

Proof. Indeed, this holds for the singularities contained in the leaf $L_q \subset \Gamma$. Fix now a singularity $p \in \Gamma$ and a leaf $L_1 \subset \Gamma$ containing a separatrix through p, but not contained in L_q. Choose now a disc Σ_1 transverse to $\mathcal{F}$ centered at $q_1 \in L_1$ a point close enough to p. The hypothesis that the virtual holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{virt}}(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma_1, q_1)$ is finite implies the holonomy $\mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{F}, L_1, \Sigma_1, q_1)$ is also finite. Since this holonomy group contains the local holonomy map of the separatrix contained in L_1, by means of the Dulac correspondence $\mathcal{D}\colon \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_q$ we may associate to any holonomy map $h \in \mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{F}, L_1, \Sigma_1, q_1)$, a finite number of germs $h^{\mathcal{D}} \in \mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{virt}}(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma_q, q)$ with the property that $h^{\mathcal{D}} \circ \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} \circ h$. Therefore, we may "embed" the holonomy group of an adjacent leaf L_1 to L_q, into the virtual holonomy group $\mathrm{Hol}^{\mathrm{virt}}(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma_q, q)$. Iteration of this process, gives embedding of any holonomy group of any leaf contained in Γ. ☐

Definition 5.8 (graph)**.** A *graph* of $\mathcal{F}$ is an invariant compact connected analytic subset $\Gamma \subset M$ such that:

(G1) Each leaf in Γ is contained in an analytic curve.
(G2) Γ contains each separatrix through the singularities $p \in \mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \Gamma$.
(G3) Each leaf $L \subset \Gamma$ accumulates at some singularity $p \in \mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \Gamma$.

Lemma 5.9 (Stability lemma for graphs)**.** *Let* $\mathcal{F}$ *be a holomorphic foliation with isolated singularities on a complex manifold* M*. Let* $\Gamma \subset M$ *be a graph of* $\mathcal{F}$ *such that:*

(S1) *The singularities of* $\mathcal{F}$ *in* Γ *are all holonomy-analytically linearizable of Siegel type with integer eigenvalues.*
(S2) *Each virtual holonomy group of* Γ *is finite in the sense of Definition 5.6.*

Then there is a fundamental system of invariant neighborhoods $\{U_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ *of* Γ *in* M *such that in each* U_ν *the leaves of* $\mathcal{F}$ *are closed off the singular set* $\mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \Gamma$*. In particular, there is a uniform* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that for any transverse disc* Σ *to* $\mathcal{F}$ *centered at any non-singular point* $q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \Gamma$*, and any leaf* $L \in \mathcal{F}$ *intersecting* U_ν*, we have* $\mathrm{ord}(L, \Sigma) := \sharp(L \cap \Sigma) \leq k$*.*

Proof. By the finiteness of the virtual holonomy, any leaf contained in Γ has finite holonomy group. Given a let $L \subset \Gamma$ and a compact connected subset $K \subset L \subset \Gamma \setminus (\mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \Gamma)$ there are neighborhoods $U(K) \supset W(K) \supset K$ of K, with $U(K) \subset M$ open in M, $W(K) \subset L$ connected and open in L, and a holomorphic retraction $\pi(K)\colon U(K) \to W(K)$, such that for each point $x \in W(K)$, the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is transverse to the (leaves of the) restriction $\mathcal{F}\big|_{U(K)}$. Moreover, thanks to the finiteness of the holonomy of the leaf $L := L(K) \subset \Gamma$ that contains K, we may assume by the local stability lemma (Lemma 2.2) that the neighborhoods $U(K)$ and $W(K)$ form fundamental systems of neighborhoods of K in M and Γ respectively, and that the restriction $\pi(K)\big|_{L \cap U(K)}\colon L \cap U(K) \to W(K)$ is a finite covering map. Write now $\mathrm{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \Gamma = \{p_1, ..., p_\ell\}$. By hypothesis, for each $j = 1, ..., \ell$, there is a neighborhood $U(p_j)$ of p_j in M where the holonomy maps of the separatrices of the restriction $\mathcal{F}\big|_{U_j}$ are all analytically linearizable as rational rotations.

In particular, each (local) leaf of the restriction $\mathcal{F}\big|_{U(p_j)}$ is closed off q_j and has a uniformly bounded finite order (with respect to transverse discs). On the other hand, each leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$ that approaches Γ enough, intersects some open set $U(p_j)$ above. Now a similar construction to the one in the local stability theorem of Reeb gives the invariant neighborhoods U_ν of Γ, where each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ is either contained in Γ or is closed: Let L be a leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ and assume that L accumulates at some singularity $p \in \Gamma$. Then, L must contain a separatrix $\Gamma_p \subset \Gamma$ and therefore the leaf L is contained in Γ. Since Γ is graph, if the leaf L accumulates at some non-singular point $q \in \Gamma$ then L must accumulate at some singularity in Γ. Therefore, the leaves in U_ν are closed or contained in Γ. The uniform bound for the order of the leaves in U_ν is a consequence of the finiteness of the (virtual) holonomy groups, of the local Dulac maps associate to corner singularities in Γ and of the local stability lemma (Lemma 2.2).

□

Definition 5.10 (stable graph)**.** A graph Γ of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on M is called *stable* if it satisfies conditions (S1) and (S2) in Lemma 5.9 above.

6. FOLIATIONS ON COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACES

Since the Cousin multiplicative problem always admits a solution in $\mathbb{C}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\}$, $m \geq 2$, a one-dimensional holomorphic foliation with singularities $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{C}P^m$ is always defined in any affine space $\mathbb{C}^m \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ by a polynomial vector field with isolated singularities. From now on by *foliation* we shall mean a one-dimensional holomorphic foliation with singularities. In this paper, by an *algebraic leaf* we mean a leaf $L \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ of the (non-singular) foliation, such that the closure $\overline{L} \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ is algebraic of dimension one. Equivalently, L is contained in an algebraic curve. If this is the case, $\Lambda(L) := \overline{L}$ is an algebraic invariant curve and we have $\Lambda(L) \setminus L = \overline{L} \cap \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$. Given a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{C}P^m$ we denote by $\Omega(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ the set of all algebraic leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. This is therefore a collection of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$, but when we refer to the (zero or positive) measure of this set, we are considering the measure of the union of the leaves in $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$, what should cause no confusion.

6.1. Algebraic leaves and finite order.

Definition 6.1 ([16])**.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of codimension k on a manifold M (perhaps non-compact). A *compact total transverse section* of $\mathcal{F}$ is a compact k-manifold $T \subset M$ (possibly with boundary) such that every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ intersects the interior of T.

Lemma 6.2 ([16])**.** *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation of dimension* 1 *on $\mathbb{C}P(n)$ with (finite) singular set* $\operatorname{sing}\mathcal{F}$. *There exists a finite collection of immersed closed discs $\overline{D_j} \subset \mathbb{C}P(n)$, $j = 1, ..., r$ pairwise disjoint such that*: (i) $\overline{D_j} \approx \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| \leq 1\}$ *and* $D_j = \overline{D_j} \setminus \partial\overline{D_j}$ *is transverse to* $\mathcal{F}$. (ii) *Each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ intersects at least one of the open discs D_j. In other words, the manifold with boundary $T = \overline{D_1} \cup ... \cup \overline{D_r}$ is a compact total transverse section to $\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Denote by $\{p_1, ..., p_r\}$ the singular set of $\mathcal{F}$. Choose small neighborhoods $U_j \ni p_j$ diffeomorphic to polydiscs $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n, |z| \leq 1\}$ centered at p_j. Put $X = \mathbb{C}P^m \setminus \bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{r} U_j$. Then X is compact. There exists an open cover $\bigcup\limits_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha = \mathbb{C}P(n) \setminus \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ by distinguished neighborhoods U_α, such that in each U_α we have an embedded disc $\Sigma_\alpha \approx \mathbb{D}$ transverse to $\mathcal{F}\big|_{U_\alpha}$ such that if $p \in U_\alpha$ then $L_p \cap \Sigma_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Since X is compact there exists a finite covering $X \subset U_{\alpha_1} \cup ... \cup U_{\alpha_\ell}$. In particular for any $p \in X$, L_p intersects some $\Sigma_{\alpha_j}, j \in \{1, ..., \ell\}$. On the other hand, a leaf cannot remain in a polydisc U_j for it cannot be bounded in the affine space. Therefore, any leaf intersects X and thus the interior of some Σ_j. □

This motivates the following definition:

Definition 6.3 (finite order leaf)**.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a one-dimensional foliation on $\mathbb{C}P^m$. We say that a leaf $L \in \mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ has *finite order* if for some compact total transverse section $T \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ to $\mathcal{F}$, we have that the intersection $L \cap T$ is a finite set. Fixed such section T we call the *relative order* $\operatorname{ord}(L, T)$ as the number of intersection points, i,.e., $\operatorname{ord}(L, T) = \sharp(L \cap T)$.

The following well-known lemma shows that the above notion of order is intrinsic and we shall refer to the *order* $\operatorname{ord}(L, \mathcal{F})$ of a leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 6.4 (Transversal uniformity lemma, [5]). *Given a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a manifold M and given two points $q_1, q_2 \in L_0$ in a same leaf L_0 of $\mathcal{F}$, there are transverse discs Σ_1 and Σ_2, centered at q_1, q_2 respectively, and a diffeomorphism $h \colon \Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_2$ such that for any leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$ we have $h(L \cap \Sigma_1) = L \cap \Sigma_2$.*

From the above lemma given a leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$, a point $q \in L$ and a transverse disc Σ to $\mathcal{F}$ centered at a point $q \in L$ we have $\sharp(L \cap \Sigma) \leq \operatorname{ord}(L, \mathcal{F})$.

Lemma 6.5. *Given a holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of dimension k in $\mathbb{C}P^m$ and a compact total transverse section $T \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ to $\mathcal{F}$. A leaf $L \in \mathcal{F}$ is algebraic if, and only if, it has finite relative order $\operatorname{ord}(L, T) < \infty$. More precisely, we have $\Omega(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup\limits_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, n)$ where by definition*

$$\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, n) = \{L \in \mathcal{F} : \#(L \cap T) \leq n\}.$$

Proof. First we remark that, according to a theorem of Chow, a leaf $L \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ is algebraic if and only if its closure $\overline{L} \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ is an analytic subset of dimension one. By a theorem of Remmert and Stein the closure $\overline{L} \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ is analytic of dimension one if and only if $\overline{L} \setminus L$ is contained in a analytic subset of dimension zero. Therefore, a leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$ is algebraic if, and only if, we have $\overline{L} \setminus L \subset \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$. If L is algebraic, then $\overline{L}$ is a compact algebraic one-dimensional submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^m$. We have $\overline{L} \cap T = L \cap T$. Therefore, since $\overline{L}$ is compact, we have $\sharp(L \cap T) < \infty$. Therefore, every algebraic leaf has finite relative order. Conversely, let $L \in \mathcal{F}$ be a leaf with finite relative order with respect to T. In particular, L is closed off the singular set and therefore, by the initial remark, L is an algebraic leaf.

□

7. Proof of Darboux type theorem

In what follows we consider the following situation: $\mathcal{F}$ is a holomorphic foliation of dimension one with non-degenerate singularities on the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^m$ such that the set $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$, union of all algebraic leaves of $\mathcal{F}$, has positive measure.

Lemma 7.1. *The set $\mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$ is invariant by the foliation $\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Given a non-singular point $q \in \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$ by the local trivialization of the foliation we conclude that for a certain neighborhood W of q, the plaque $q \in Q \subset W$ of the leaf L_q of $\mathcal{F}$ through q is also contained in the set $\mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$. This shows that $\mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$ is (locally invariant and therefore) invariant.

□

By hypothesis $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ has positive measure. Therefore, by Lemmas 4.3 and 7.1 the set $\mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$ is invariant and contains some leaf, say $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$. We can actually conclude more. From Lemma 6.2 we may choose a compact total transverse section $T \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ to $\mathcal{F}$. Using then Lemmas 6.5 and 4.4 we immediately conclude that:

Lemma 7.2. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3, there is a leaf $L_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, k))$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and some total transverse section $T \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$.*

Lemma 7.3. *Let* $p \in \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F})) \cap \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ *be a (non-degenerate) non-dicritical singularity, which is a measure concentration point of* $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$*. Then, any separatrix* Λ_p *of* $\mathcal{F}$ *through* p *is also contained in the set of measure concentration points of* $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ *and has finite holonomy map with respect to the singularity* p*.*

Proof. First we observe that p, since it is non-degenerate and non-dicritical, the singularity is in the Siegel domain. By the local Dulac correspondence associated to two separatrices through p we conclude that each separatrix is contained in $\mathcal{C}_\mu((\Omega(\mathcal{F})))$. Applying then Lemma 7.8 we conclude that, given a separatrix Γ through p, and a local transverse disc Σ centered at a non-singular $q \in \Gamma \setminus \{p\}$, close enough to p, we have $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu((\Omega(\mathcal{F})))$ and therefore, there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma, k))$, where by definition

$$\Omega(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma, k) = \{L \in \mathcal{F} : \#(L \cap T) \leq k\}.$$

Now, by Lemma 5.3 we conclude. ☐

Lemma 7.4. *Let* $p \in \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F})) \cap \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ *be a singular measure concentration point of* $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$*. Then* $p \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ *is holonomy-analytically linearizable.*

Proof. If the singularity $q \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \Gamma(L_0)$ is in the Poincaré domain then we have two possibilities:

(i) The singularity is analytically linearizable, given in suitable local coordinates by a linear diagonal vector field X_q. In this case, because the local holonomy maps associated to the separatrices, are finite maps, we conclude that the eigenvalues of X_q can be chosen, up to multiplication of X_q by a suitable constant, to be integer numbers.

(ii) The singularity can be put in the Poincaré-Dulac resonant (nonlinear) normal form. In this case it can be proved that there are at most $n-1$ invariant hyperplanes and the local holonomy map of a suitable invariant axes is not periodic ([1]). Moreover, the periodic leaves, *i.e.*, the leaves which are closed off the origin, are confined to invariant hyperplanes and their union is a zero measure set. Therefore we obtain a contradiction and this case cannot occur.

Assume now that q is a singularity in the Siegel domain. In this case, the singularity is holonomy-analytically linearizable in a diagonal normal form if and only if the holonomy map associate to some separatrix is analytically linearizable as a diagonal normal form. This is the case because, thanks to what we have observed, all the local holonomies of separatrices contained in $\Lambda(L_0)$ are finite periodic.

By hypothesis the singularity is non-degenerate and there are two possibilities: If p is in the Poincaré domain, then it is either analytically linearizable or exhibits some resonance for its eigenvalues and is analytically conjugate to a polynomial form with resonant monomials called Poincaré-Dulac normal form ([1]). As already observed, for a non-linear normal form the set of separatrices is a zero measure subset while the other leaves are not closed off the origin and accumulate at the invariant hyperplanes. This implies that, in this non-linear normal form case, the singularity is not contained in $\mathcal{C}_\mu((\Omega(\mathcal{F})))$. Therefore, in the Poincaré case the singularity is necessarily analytically linearizable. Assume now that the singularity is in the Siegel domain. Then, each such local holonomy is periodic (and therefore analytically linearizable as a rational rotation), the singularity is holonomy-analytically linearizable. ☐

Corollary 7.5. *Let* $p \in \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F})) \cap \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ *be a singular measure concentration point of* $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$*. If* p *is a* μ*-dicritical singularity then* p *is, in suitable local coordinates, of the form* $\dot{x} = Ax$ *for some diagonal linear map* $A \in GL(m, \mathbb{C})$*, with positive integer coefficients.*

Proof. Indeed, the singularity is μ-dicritical and holonomy-analytically linearizable by Lemma 7.4. □

Definition 7.6. A μ-dicritical singularity $p \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ will be called of *radial type* if it is analytically linearizable of the form $\dot{x} = Ax$ for some diagonal linear map $A \in GL(m, \mathbb{C})$, with positive integer coefficients.

Proposition 7.7. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be as in Theorem 1.3, then there exists a finite sequence of quadratic blow-ups $\pi\colon \tilde{M} \to \mathbb{C}P^m$, at the μ-dicritical singularities in $\mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$, such that $\tilde{M}$ is a projective manifold and the induced pull-back foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \pi^*(\mathcal{F})$ is a foliation with the following properties:*

- (i) *The singularities of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ are of non-degenerate type.*
- (ii) *The set $\Omega(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \subset \tilde{M}$, union of algebraic leaves of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, has positive measure.*
- (iii) *The singularities of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \pi^*(\mathcal{F})$ are non-μ-dicritical singularities or μ-dicritical singularities which are not measure concentration points of the set $\Omega(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \subset \tilde{M}$ of algebraic leaves of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.*

Proof of Proposition 7.7. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be as in the statement. By Corollary 7.5 a singularity $p \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$ is either non-μ-dicritical or of radial type. For a singularity of radial type $p_1 \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$, a single quadratic blow-up at this singular point, say $\pi_1\colon M_1 \to \mathbb{C}P^m$, produces a pull-back foliation $\mathcal{F}_1 = \pi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$, such that:

1. $\mathcal{F}_1\big|_{M_1 \setminus \pi_1^{-1}(p_1)}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{F}\big|_{\mathbb{C}P^m \setminus \{p_1\}}$
2. The exceptional divisor $\pi_1^{-1}(p_1) \cong \mathbb{C}P^{m-1}$, contains no singularity of $\mathcal{F}_1$ and is everywhere transverse to $\mathcal{F}_1$.
3. The set of algebraic leaves of $\mathcal{F}_1$ is birationally equivalent, by the map $\pi\colon M_1 \to \mathbb{C}P^m$, to the set of algebraic leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. In particular, $\Omega(\mathcal{F}_1)$ has positive measure if and only if $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ has positive measure.

By the proper mapping theorem [11], a leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$ is algebraic if, and only if, the inverse image $\pi_1^{-1}(L) \subset M_1$ is a finite union of algebraic leaves of $\mathcal{F}_1$ and possibly some singular points. In particular, we have $\operatorname{med}(\Omega(\mathcal{F}_1)) = 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{med}(\Omega(\mathcal{F})) = 0$. Thus, finite iteration of this process, at the μ-dicritical singular concentration points, gives the desired result. □

Consider $\mathcal{F}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ as in the above proposition. Then, by sake of simplicity of notation, we shall assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}$, *i.e.*:

- *Let us assume from now on that the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ has no μ-dicritical singularity in $\mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F})) \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$.*

Given an algebraic leaf $L \in \Omega(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$, denote by $\Lambda(L) = L \cup (\overline{L} \cap \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}))$ the irreducible algebraic curve that contains L. Therefore, $\Lambda(L)$ is the union of L and all the local separatrices *tangent* to L. If we denote by $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})} \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ the closure of $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathbb{C}P^m$ then we have:

$$\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})} = \bigcup_{L \in \Omega(\mathcal{F})} \Lambda(L) = \Omega(\mathcal{F}) \cup (\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})}) \subset \Omega(\mathcal{F}) \cup \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}).$$

The set $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})}$ is the union of all algebraic invariant curves of $\mathcal{F}$. Given an algebraic leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$, let us also denote by $\Gamma(L)$ the union of $\Lambda(L)$ and all the leaves containing separatrices Λ_p of singularities $p \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$ contained in $\Lambda(L)$, and the union of all leaves containing separatrices of singularities in these curves Λ_p and so on. Assume that $L \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$. By Lemmas 7.3 and 7.1, each leaf containing a separatrix Λ_p is also contained in the set of measure concentration points of $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$. Therefore we have $\Gamma(L) \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega)$.

Later on we will show that indeed, $\Gamma(L)$ is a graph of $\mathcal{F}$. The first step is the following:

Lemma 7.8. *Let $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F},T,k))$, then each separatrix of a singularity $p \in \Gamma(L_0)$ is algebraic and also contained in the set of measure concentration points of $\Omega(\mathcal{F},T,k)$.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction that a certain leaf L containing a separatrix Γ_p of a singularity $p \in \overline{L_0}$ is not algebraic. Then, there is a non-singular accumulation point $q_\infty \in \overline{L}\setminus L$, $q_\infty \notin \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$. Given a transverse disc Σ_{q_∞} to $\mathcal{F}$ centered at q_∞, we have $\sharp(L \cap \Sigma_{q_\infty}) = \infty$. Choose now a transverse disc Σ_q to $\mathcal{F}$, centered at a point $q \in \Gamma_p \subset L$, close to p. Then, given any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a subdisc $\Sigma_1 \subset \Sigma_q$, such that if $z \in \Sigma_1$ then the leaf $L_z \ni z$ satisfies $\sharp(L_z \cap \Sigma_{q_\infty}) \geq k!$. Now we consider the Dulac correspondence from Σ_1 to a disc Σ_2 centered at a point $q_0 \in L_0$ close to $p \in \overline{L_0}$, and then, by the Transverse uniformity lemma (Lemma 6.4), from the disc Σ_2 to the disc Σ_{q_∞}. The above shows that for any $w \in \Sigma_2$ close enough to the origin q_0, we have $\sharp(L_w \cap \Sigma_{q_\infty}) \geq k!$ and, in particular, L_w has order greater than k. Therefore, $\operatorname{med}(\Sigma_2 \cap \Omega(\mathcal{F},k)) = 0$, yielding a contradiction for $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F},T,k))$. The same argumentation holds for the case $p \in \Gamma(L_0) \cap \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$, because still in this case we have a well-defined system of Dulac correspondences, from a transverse subdisc Σ_2, intersecting L_0 at a point q_2 close to p, to the disc Σ_{q_∞}, centered at the accumulation point q_∞ of a non-algebraic leaf containing a separatrix. This proves that every separatrix in $\Gamma(L_0)$ is algebraic. In order to conclude that each such separatrix is also contained in the set of measure concentration points of $\Omega(\mathcal{F},T,k)$, it is enough to use the above constructed systems of Dulac correspondences and the fact that $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F},T,k))$. ☐

Let be given a leaf $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F},T,k))$. By Lemma 7.8 above, $\Gamma(L_0)$ is an invariant set which is a union of algebraic curves, that contains the algebraic curve $\Lambda(L_0)$. We know that $\Gamma(L_0) \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F},T,k))$ and also:

Lemma 7.9. *Given a leaf $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F},T,k))$ all the virtual holonomy groups of $\Gamma(L_0)$ are finite and the singularities $q \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \Gamma(L_0)$ are all holonomy-analytically linearizable with integer eigenvalues.*

Proof. Recall that, by hypothesis, all the singularities in $\Gamma(L_0)$ are in the Siegel domain. Therefore $\Gamma(L_0)$ is a connected invariant subset contained in $\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$. Applying then Lemma 7.4 we conclude that each singularity in $\Gamma(L_0)$ is analytically linearizable. More precisely, let $\operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \Gamma(L_0) = \{q_1, ..., q_\ell\}$. From the preceding claim, for each $j = 1, ..., \ell$ there is a neighborhood W_j of q_j in $\mathbb{C}P^m$ where $\mathcal{F}$ admits a linear diagonal normal form with integer eigenvalues, in suitable local analytic coordinates centered at q_j. In particular, each (local) leaf of the restriction $\mathcal{F}\big|_{W_j}$ is closed off q_j and has a uniformly bounded finite order. On the other hand, each leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$ that approaches L_0 enough, intersects some open set W_j above.

Take now a virtual holonomy map germ $h \in \operatorname{Hol}^{\text{virt}}(\mathcal{F}, L_0, \Sigma_p, p)$. Let us choose a sufficiently small subdisc $W \subset \Sigma_p$ such that the germ h has a representative $h\colon W \to \Sigma_p$ such that the iterates $h, h^2, ..., h^{n+1}$ are defined in W. Put $X =: \{z \in W : \#(L_z \cap \Sigma_p) \leq n\}$. Because of the claim above we have $\operatorname{med}(X) > 0$. Given a point $z \in X$ we have $h^\ell(z) = z$ for some $\ell \leq n$. Therefore there is $n_h \leq n$ such that

$$\operatorname{med}(\{z \in W : h^{n_h}(z) = z\}) > 0$$

Since h is analytic, the set $\{z \in W : h^{n_h}(z) = z\}$ is an analytic subset of W and therefore either this coincides with W or (it has codimension ≥ 2 and therefore) this is a zero measure subset of W. We conclude that $h^{n_h} = \operatorname{Id}$ in W. This shows that each virtual holonomy germ $h \in \operatorname{Hol}^{\text{virt}}(\mathcal{F}, L_0, \Sigma_p, p)$ is periodic of order $n_h \leq n$ for some uniform $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that this virtual holonomy group is finite by Lemma 3.2. By Proposition 5.7 all the virtual holonomy groups of $\Gamma(L_0)$ are finite and the singularities $q \in \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \Gamma(L_0)$ are all linearizable with integer eigenvalues. ☐

Summarizing the above results we have (cf. Definition 5.10):

Proposition 7.10. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be as in Theorem 1.3. Given a leaf $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, k))$ the curve $\Gamma(L_0)$ is an stable graph of $\mathcal{F}$.*

Proposition 7.11. *Let $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, k))$. There is a neighborhood W of $\Gamma(L_0)$ in M such that $W \subset \overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})}$, i.e., each leaf intersecting W is algebraic. The neighborhood W can be chosen to be invariant and arbitrarily small.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.10 the curve $\Gamma(L_0)$ is an stable graph for $\mathcal{F}$ in the sense of Definition 5.10. By the local stability theorem for graphs, Lemma 5.9, there exists a fundamental system of invariant neighborhoods W of $\Gamma(L_0)$ in M such that the leaves in W are all of a uniformly bounded finite order with respect to any small enough transverse disc Σ centered at any non-singular point $q \in \Gamma(L_0) \setminus \operatorname{sing}(\mathcal{F})$.

In particular, a leaf $L \subset W$ is of finite order and therefore algebraic.

☐

The above proof actually shows (or directly from Lemma 5.9) that:

Lemma 7.12. *An stable graph $\Gamma(L_0)$ of an algebraic leaf $L_0 \subset \Omega(\mathcal{F})$ is contained in the interior of the set $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})}$.*

7.1. **End of the proof of Darboux type theorem.**

Proposition 7.13. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.3 there is a leaf $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$. Indeed, there are $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and an algebraic leaf $L_0 \subset \Omega(\mathcal{F})$ such that $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, k))$.*

Proof. Indeed, since by hypothesis we have $\operatorname{med}(\Omega(\mathcal{F})) > 0$, by Lemma 4.4 there is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, k)) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemmas 4.3 and 7.1 there is some leaf $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, k))$.

☐

We denote by $\operatorname{Int}(\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})}) \subset \mathbb{C}P^m$ the interior of the set $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})}$, union of all algebraic invariant curves of $\mathcal{F}$.

Proposition 7.14. *The set $\operatorname{Int}(\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})})$ is an open, non-empty, invariant subset of $\mathbb{C}P^m$. A boundary leaf $L \subset \partial \operatorname{Int}(\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})})$ is contained in the set of measure concentration points $L \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 7.13 there are $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and an algebraic leaf $L_0 \subset \Omega(\mathcal{F})$ such that $L_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, k))$. By Proposition 7.11 we have $L_0 \subset \operatorname{Int}(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$. Thus $\operatorname{Int}(\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})}) \neq \emptyset$. Given a leaf $L \subset \partial\mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$ then by Lemma 4.2 we have $L \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$. ☐

Proposition 7.15. *Given a leaf $L_0 \subset \partial \operatorname{Int}(\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})})$ contained in the boundary of the interior of $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})}$, then L_0 is algebraic, and $\Gamma(L_0)$ is an stable graph.*

Proof. Indeed, if $L \subset \partial \operatorname{Int}(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$ then $L \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}))$ and then $L \subset \mathcal{C}_\mu(\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, k))$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we only have to apply Proposition 7.10. ☐

Since an stable graph $\Gamma(L_0)$ is in the interior of the set $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})}$ (Lemma 7.12), in particular, we conclude that:

Lemma 7.16. *The boundary* $\partial\,\mathrm{Int}(\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})})$ *contains no leaf.*

The above lemma implies that actually the boundary $\partial\,\mathrm{Int}(\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})})$ is empty. Therefore, $\overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F})} = \mathbb{C}P^m$ and Theorem 1.3 is proved.

References

[1] V. I. Arnold, *Geometrical methods in the theory of ordinary differential equations*. Springer, 1983.

[2] Marco Brunella. *A global stability theorem for transversely holomorphic foliations.* Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 15 (1997), no. 2, 179–186.

[3] Marco Brunella; Marcel Nicolau. Sur les hypersurfaces solutions des équations de Pfaff. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 329 (1999), no. 9, 793–795.

[4] W. Burnside. *On criteria for the finiteness of the order of a group of linear substitutions*, Proc.London Math. Soc. (2) 3 (1905), 435-440.

[5] César Camacho; Alcides Lins Neto. *Geometry theory of foliations.* Translated from the Portuguese by Sue E. Goodman. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1985. vi + 205 pp.

[6] César Camacho, Bruno Scárdua; *Holomorphic foliations with Liouvillian first integrals.* Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems (2001), 21, pp.717-756.

[7] César Camacho, Alcides Lins Neto and Paulo Sad, *Foliations with algebraic limit sets*; Ann. of Math. 136 (1992), 429–446.

[8] P. M. Elizarov & Yu. S. Ilyashenko. *Remarks on the orbital analytic classification of germs of vector fields.* Math. USSR Sb. **49** (1984) 111-124.

[9] Claude Godbillon. *Feuilletages*: Études géométriques. Progress in Mathematics, 98. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1991. xiv + 474 pp.

[10] E. Ghys. *À propos d'un théorème de J.-P. Jouanolou concernant les feuilles fermées des feuilletages holomorphes.* Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 49 (2000), no. 1, 175–180.

[11] R.C. Gunning, H. Rossi; *Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables.* Prentice Hall; Englewood Cliffs; N.J. 1965.

[12] Jean-Pierre Jouanolou. *Équations de Pfaff algèbriques*; Lecture Notes in Math. 708, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.

[13] Fábio Santos; Bruno Scardua. *Stability of complex foliations transverse to fibrations*, to appear in Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.

[14] Georges Reeb. *Variétés feuilletées, feuilles voisines*; C.R.A.S. Paris 224 (1947), 1613-1614.

[15] I. Schur. *Über Gruppen periodischer substitutionen*, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. (1911), 619–627.

[16] B. Scárdua; *Complex Projective Foliations Having Subexponential Growth*, Indagationes Math. N.S. 12 (3) pp. 293-302 Sept. 2001.

[17] B. Azevedo Scárdua, *On the existence of stable compact leaves for transversely holomorphic foliations*, pre-print 2011, submitted, arXiv:1204.0095v1 [math.GT].

[18] B. Azevedo Scárdua; *Integration of complex differential equations.* Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems, issue 1, vol. **5**, pp.1-50, 1999.

[19] A. Seindenberg. *Reduction of the singularities of the differentiable equation* $Ady = Bdx$, Amer. J. Math **90** (1968), 248-269.

[20] Yu. Ilyashenko & S. Yakovenko. *Lectures on analytic differential equations.* Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society (December 27, 2007).

Bruno Scárdua: scardua@im.ufrj.br
Instituto de Matemática - Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro
Rio de Janeiro - RJ, Caixa Postal 68530
21.945-970 Rio de Janeiro-RJ
BRAZIL